

Sheila Rogers

From: .rj. .hansen. [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, November 29, 2022 3:58 PM
To: Sheila Rogers; Casey Moreau
Subject: Rabs 2050 traffic Interstate-5

Importance: High

Some people who received this message don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

Hello Operations Committee & Executive Board,

I am writing to inform you that the very important Rabs 2050 interstate-5 traffic study is being recklessly ignored by The Commercial Aviation Coordinating Commission. The rabs 2050 I-5 traffic projections make it very clear that I-5 south of Seattle will be extremely congested by the year 2050.

The CACC has made an egregious error of narrowing down their choices for a new large commercial airport to 3 greenfield sites all located south of Seattle in Pierce or Thurston county. The rabs 2050 traffic projections for I-5 prove that placing another airport south of SeaTac airport would cause extreme northbound traffic jams on I-5 in 2050.

Almost all airline passengers landing in the Puget sound are heading to king county. If a new airport was built south of SeaTac airport all of the new airport's thousands of daily passengers would be attempting to travel to king county going northbound on I-5. When all of the new pierce county airport traffic of 2050 reaches the Southcenter Westfield exits, a true traffic nightmare would take place. All of SeaTac airports northbound traffic would be attempting to merge with all of the south pierce county airport northbound traffic. It would bring I-5 northbound to a total standstill.

Keeping I-5 traffic moving in 2050 is vital to national security due to the fact, the port of Tacoma and the port of Seattle and SeaTac airport and ground traffic at joint base McChord all use it. I-5 is the only transportation road that serves all of them.

I-5 south of downtown Seattle was not designed to handle the extreme amount of traffic coming from 2 major airports, 2 large shipping ports and Joint base McChord. Any new large Airport built to serve Seattle must be built north of King County. I-5 south of Seattle is already maxed out.

Seattle and King county are the major population hub in the region. It only makes sense to service it in the most efficient way possible. It already has airport service to its south. It now

needs airport service to the north so the daily arriving passengers can then assimilate into the city of Seattle at an even rate from the north and from SeaTac airport in the south end. It is the only logical thing to do. Placing another new large airport south of Seattle will be extremely inefficient and cause massive carbon emissions to be released into the atmosphere at a time when the entire world is attempting to reduce carbon emissions.

I am asking the Puget Sound Regional Council To contact the Commercial Aviation Coordinating Commission and inform them that I-5 south of Seattle cannot support the additional northbound I-5 traffic which would be created in 2050 by adding another airport south of Seattle.

We all must work together to reduce carbon emissions and use our limited I-5 infrastructure in the most efficient way possible. The only logical choice is that Seattle has airline passenger service in both the north and the south.

All future transportation plans must be extremely efficient. Please inform the Commercial Aviation Coordinating Commission that their current plan of a south of Seattle airport is flawed and would be very economically and environmentally damaging.

Thank you!

RJ Hansen

[REDACTED]

Eatonville WA

98328

[REDACTED]

Sheila Rogers

From: Joe A. Kunzler [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, November 28, 2022 11:08 AM
To: Sheila Rogers
Cc: Josh Brown; Claudia Balducci
Subject: Public Comment for 1 Dec 2022 PSRC Exec Board
Attachments: Public comment rules _ Sound Transit (1).pdf



28 November 2022

RE: Public Comment for 1 Dec 2022 PSRC Exec Board

Dear PSRC Executive Board;

I decided to wait until after the Thanksgiving holiday to send this difficult missive as I don't want to be the mean one. Good thing I did, as certain national events both at Seattle-Tacoma International Airport and at Mar-A-Lago, sharpen the need for action against hate speech at the PSRC.

For those unawares, last week we had a Nazi attempt to hijack Seattle-Tacoma International Airport. Many bystanders were frozen in place as anti-Semitic, racist hate speech was screamed at an American Airlines gate. Just as this Council's electeds have been against Alex Tsimerman. Luckily the Port of Seattle Police had more integrity than some of you and set things right.

Then we have the defeated former President in his ongoing race to sink American standards have Nick Fuentes for Thanksgiving dinner. Yes, the same anti-woman, anti-Jew, racist Nick Fuentes. This kind of hatred cannot become normalized, and it is a straight line between platforming Nick Fuentes and platforming Alex Tsimerman without so much as a rebuttal.

The situationally aware are well aware you have a PSRC President who is finally attempting to control Alex Tsimerman. It's a damn shame the PSRC has committee chairs who think appeasement and not having a court case is better than mainstreaming and platforming hate speech. I hope the chairs of 2023 will realize we are past the point of dismissing as an unruly rabble Tsimerman and his friends.

In the wake of this and the ongoing abuse of Alex Tsimerman whammed down our throats, I am *baffled* that we have an agenda for 1 December that says nothing about the ongoing crisis about Alex Tsimerman's abuse of public comment. We have a Transportation Committee Chair in Dana Ralph, who believes it is very much her role to appease Alex Tsimerman. We have a President in Claudia Badassuchi, who is keeping order down at King County Council and needs backup. Alex Tsimerman can be stopped, and it's a Big Lie mistruth that Tsimerman's vile remarks can only be appeased.

The truth? The truth that someone is brave, gets hit and keeps punching better like a Zelensky or a Stefani or now a Badassuchi is how you know someone is telling the truth. The truth is further appeasement of Alex Tsimerman is just going to make me yell louder and call in a posse.

Also truth: You have options to act. See attachment. The attachment was vetted by Sound Transit lawyers and approved by many PSRC current members. You could just adopt this 1 December, and this all ends after a brief confrontation with evil. You also could go to 100% e-mail comments, and I would be satisfied.

Every single chair and vice chair of a PSRC committee has a gut-check choice to make: Either side with Alex Tsimerman and Donald Trump or your President Claudia Badassuchi and the United States of America. Who do you want YOUR name forever tied to with a cord of steel for all of history? Choose wisely. Read "Tackling Tsimerman" if you need help.

Oh and BTW, I've started planning for the worst-case scenario of an Alex Tsimerman hate speech with a side of campaigning. Because it wouldn't surprise me if we had a PSRC Committee Chair like Dana Ralph in the near future to allow campaigning for Donald Trump as her name is tied to Alex Tsimerman's with rings of steel. Most of us know Alex Tsimerman has campaigned for Donald Trump in the past. That's illegal, BTW, and it is the responsibility of a PSRC Chair to shut campaigning down immediately or face the Public Disclosure Commission.

In conclusion, it isn't enough to pass proclamations at your home council about past atrocities when the flames for the next one are rising at the PSRC Transportation Committee Dana Ralph. It isn't enough to say you oppose Alex Tsimerman at home when finally confronted for being an appeaser Dana Ralph. You and your fellow chairs actually have to oppose Alex Tsimerman at the PSRC. You actually have to do your job - all of you PSRC Chairs. You actually have to be... this mysterious thing called brave and actually genuinely stand against hate when there is some perceived consequence for doing so. If you cannot take cues from your PSRC President and me; then surrender the PSRC gavel and get the scrutiny off of you and your community.

Finally, I would rather write about the actual projects the PSRC scores and approves funds for - there is one around SeaTac International that most certainly has my attention. But I have to fight hate speech so nobody else has to deal with an Alex Tsimerman, a Nick Fuentes, or for that matter a Dana Ralph in their midst. Why? One last thing from "The West Wing":

DECISIONS ARE MADE BY THOSE WHO SHOW UP.

Very strategically;

Joe A. Kunzler
